# FlowMatrix: GPU-Assisted Information-Flow Analysis through Matrix-Based Representation

**Kaihang Ji**, Jun Zeng, Yuancheng Jiang, Zhenkai Liang, Zheng Leong Chua, Prateek Saxena, Abhik Roychoudhury

**USENIX Security Symposium, August 2022** 



# **Dynamic Information Flow Tracking (DIFT)**

- **DIFT** (aka **Dynamic Taint Analysis**): An important program analysis technique in security
- Track information flows in a program: Taint state transforms between sources and sinks of interest
- Security applications: Vulnerability analysis, Configuration diagnosis, etc.



# **Dynamic Information Flow Tracking (DIFT)**

- Challenge: Users often need to check multiple information flows
  - Calls for efficient **DIFT Query**: Rapidly DIFT with different given sources and sinks
- DIFT is **expensive**: 4~8 times performance overhead
  - One way to support DIFT query: Heavy computing support (OSDI'16)
  - Another way is to speed up DIFT itself...



## **Problem of DIFT**

• Existing work of accelerating DIFT



• Lack of speeding up propagation operation itself.

# **Complexity of DIFT Operation Rules**

- Taint propagation logics in current DIFT mechanisms are
  - Implemented in high-level programming languages with *if* and *loops*
  - Unnecessarily complex
  - Challenging to be computationally speeded-up

```
void taint_parallel_compute(shad, void r2r_binary_opl(dst, src, ...) int gen_taintcheck_insn(...) {
    dest, opcode, ...)
                                                                         switch(opc) {
ſ
                                     thread_ctx ->vcpu.gpr[dst] |=
                                                                          case INDEX_op_or_i32:
                                        thread_ctx->vcpu.gpr[src];
                                                                           /* t0 = arg1 || arg2 */
if (opcode == llvm::Instruction::
    0r) {
                                    3
                                                                           tcg_gen_or_i32(t0, arg1, arg2);
                                                                           /* t2 = (t0 != 0)
 cb_mask_out.cb_mask =
                                    void ins_inspect(INS ins) {
                                                                                                 */
   (cb_mask_1.zero_mask &
                                                                           tcg_gen_movi_i32(t_zero,0);
                                     . . .
    cb_mask_2.cb_mask) |
                                     switch (ins_indx) {
                                                                           tcg_gen_setcond_i32(TCG_COND_NE
   (cb_mask_2.zero_mask &
                                      case XED_ICLASS_OR:
                                                                             ,t2,t_zero,t0);
                                                                           /* result = -t2
    cb_mask_1.cb_mask);
                                       INS_InsertCall(
                                                                                                 */
}
                                        r2r_binary_opl,
                                                                           tcg_gen_neg_i32(result,t2);
                                        REG32_INDX(reg_dst),
write_cb_masks(shad, dest,
                                                                           break:
                                        REG32_INDX(reg_src), ...);
    cb_mask_out, ...);
                                                                           . . .
                                     }
                                                                         }
 . . .
                                    }
```

(a) Panda (b) Libdft (c) Decaf Different implementations of taint propagation rule of **or** instruction in **Panda**, **libdft** and **DECAF**.

# Insights

- DIFT propagation logic is data dependency (TaintInduce NDSS'19)
- Example: DIFT operations for x86 instructions



 $eax_{out} = 1 * eax_{in} + 1 * edx_{in}$  $ebx_{out} = 1 * ebx_{in}$  $ecx_{out} = 1 * ecx_{in}$  $edx_{out} = 1 * edx_{in}$ 

**DIFT** operations for instruction

OR eax, edx

Dependencies in Boolean space

 $\begin{array}{l} eax_{out} = 1 * eax_{in} + 0 * ebx_{in} + 0 * ecx_{in} + 1 * edx_{in} \\ ebx_{out} = 0 * eax_{in} + 1 * ebx_{in} + 0 * ecx_{in} + 0 * edx_{in} \\ ecx_{out} = 0 * eax_{in} + 0 * ebx_{in} + 1 * ecx_{in} + 0 * edx_{in} \\ edx_{out} = 0 * eax_{in} + 0 * ebx_{in} + 0 * ecx_{in} + 1 * edx_{in} \end{array}$ 

Dependencies in a verbose form

# Insights

- DIFT propagation logic is data dependency (TaintInduce NDSS'19)
- Example: DIFT operations for x86 instructions



 $eax_{out} = 1 * eax_{in} + 1 * edx_{in}$  $ebx_{out} = 1 * ebx_{in}$  $ecx_{out} = 1 * ecx_{in}$  $edx_{out} = 1 * edx_{in}$ 

**DIFT operations for instruction** 

OR eax, edx

Dependencies in Boolean space

eax <sub>out</sub>	=	1	*	eax <sub>in</sub>	╋	0	*	ebx <sub>in</sub>	╋	0 ;	*	ecx <sub>in</sub>	╋	1	*	edx <sub>in</sub>
ebx <sub>out</sub>	_	U	*	eax <sub>in</sub>	+	T	*	ebx <sub>in</sub>	+	0 :	*	ecx <sub>in</sub>		U	*	eax <sub>in</sub>

Dependencies in a verbose form

## Insights

We identify the **linearity** in DIFT:

The DIFT operation between input states and output states is a

linear relationship.

DIFT operations for A system of linear equations:  $f: S_{in} \rightarrow S_{out}$   $eax_{out} = 1 * eax_{in} + 0 * ebx_{in} + 0 * ecx_{in} + 1 * edx_{in}$   $ebx_{out} = 0 * eax_{in} + 1 * ebx_{in} + 0 * ecx_{in} + 0 * edx_{in}$   $ecx_{out} = 0 * eax_{in} + 0 * ebx_{in} + 1 * ecx_{in} + 0 * edx_{in}$   $edx_{out} = 0 * eax_{in} + 0 * ebx_{in} + 0 * ecx_{in} + 1 * edx_{in}$ 

Dependencies in a verbose form

## **DIFT Operations as Matrix Transformations**

FlowMatrix: a new matrix-based representation of DIFT propagation rule

$$\begin{array}{l} eax_{out} = 1 * eax_{in} + 0 * ebx_{in} + 0 * ecx_{in} + 1 * edx_{in} \\ ebx_{out} = 0 * eax_{in} + 1 * ebx_{in} + 0 * ecx_{in} + 0 * edx_{in} \\ ecx_{out} = 0 * eax_{in} + 0 * ebx_{in} + 1 * ecx_{in} + 0 * edx_{in} \\ edx_{out} = 0 * eax_{in} + 0 * ebx_{in} + 0 * ecx_{in} + 1 * edx_{in} \end{array}$$



The coefficient matrix, the dependencies between  $S_{in}$  and  $S_{out}$ 

## **Propagation Summary as Matrix Multiplication**

- Example: DIFT propagation of two x86 instructions
- Summarizing two DIFT propagation rules is to multiply two FlowMatrices:

$$M_{sum} = M_2 \times M_1$$

• FlowMatrix operations: matrix-matrix multiplication, etc.





#### **GPU-assisted DIFT Operations**

- GPUs are suitable for highly parallel applications such as matrix and vector computations.
- FlowMatrix operations are accelerated by GPUs!



Speed of calculation (FLOPS) and data movement (GB/s) - #EmeringTech #MegaTrend

source europa.eu via @mikequindazzi

# **GPU-assisted FlowMatrix-based DIFT Query**

- How can GPUs and FlowMatrix support efficient DIFT queries?
  - Answer a query by propagating each instruction sequentially? 🙁 Query too slow
  - Prepare queries by pre-computing every possible query? <sup>(2)</sup> Too much to prepare
- Goal: Reasonable pre-processing cost and rapid query response



# **Trace-based Repeated DIFT Query**

- Offline DIFT query on instruction execution traces
- (Segment-tree-like) Query Tree
  - Leaf nodes: FlowMatrix for a single instruction
  - Non-leaf nodes: Summarized FlowMatrices of two child nodes
- Pre-processing (Tree Construction): Linear time complexity
- Query: Logarithmic time complexity



# **Under/Over-tracking in DIFT queries**

- Improper tracking policy may lead under/over-tracking
  - E.g., Common under-tracking cases: dependencies between pointers and values, between condition and in-branch variables
- How to mutate tracking policy with FlowMatrix?
  - Directly patch DIFT rule matrix
  - Add a temporary variable to bridge information flows



ZF

ZF

tmp

eax

eax

# **Evaluation**

- Evaluation Aspects
  - Performance
    - How much improvement is achieved by GPU assistance?
    - How fast is FlowMatrix-based DIFT query?
  - Throughput
    - What is the throughput of FlowMatrix-based DIFT queries?
  - Comparison
    - How does FlowMatrix-based DIFT query compare with existing taint tools and DIFT query systems?
- Date Set
  - 15 CVEs and 7 common applications

#### **Evaluation - Performance**

- Question: How much improvement achieved by GPU assistance?
- Answer:
  - Our prototype outperforms CPU-based DIFT tool over **5** times in performance on average.



#### **Evaluation - Performance**

- Question: How fast is FlowMatrix-based DIFT query?
- Answer:
  - Most DIFT query requests can be answered in less than **0.5 sec**.



## **Evaluation - Throughput**

- Question: What is the throughput of the DIFT query operations?
- Answer:
  - Over **5,000,000** dataflows per second on average



## **Evaluation - Comparison**

- Question: Is FlowMatrix comparable to existing taint engines and DIFT query systems?
- Answer:
  - Three orders of magnitude larger than LibDFT
  - Comparable with JetStream (achieved by 128 CPU cores)



#### Summary

#### • FlowMatrix: a Matrix-based DIFT Representation

- We recognize linearity of dynamic information flow operations
- We propose a matrix-based representation for DIFT operations

#### GPU-assisted DIFT

FlowMatrix enables GPU as co-processors for efficient DIFT operations

#### • DIFT Query

• We design an efficient DIFT query with high throughput

# Thanks!

Q&A

#### kaihang@comp.nus.edu.sg



Code Available at https://github.com/mimicji/FlowMatrix